

Public Document Pack



**Nottingham
City Council**

Additional / To Follow Agenda Items

This is a supplement to the original agenda and includes reports that are additional to the original agenda or which were marked 'to follow'.

Nottingham City Council Planning Committee

Date: Wednesday, 20 March 2024

Time: 2.00 pm

Place: Ground Floor Committee Room - Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NG

Governance Officer: Catherine Ziane-Pryor **Direct Dial:** 0115 876 4298

Agenda

Pages

5 Update sheet

3 - 4

This page is intentionally left blank

PLANNING COMMITTEE

UPDATE SHEET

(List of additional information, amendments and changes to items since publication of the agenda)

20 March 2024

4a Waterway House

At paragraph 7.41 of the Committee report it is noted that: *“The applicant has submitted a viability appraisal with the application which has been independently reviewed by an assessor appointed by the Council.”* It goes on to say: *“Negotiations and a review of further evidence regarding this matter are on-going and an update will be provided at Committee, with all relevant background papers circulated beforehand.”*

This review has now been completed and it concluded that the scheme is unable to meet the S106 contributions associated with the development on viability grounds. As stated at paragraph 7.42, the requirement to consider the viability when determining whether it would be reasonable to require contributions from developments is in accord with policy 19 of the ACS and policy IN4 Of the LAPP.

The Recommendation of the report is therefore to be amended as follows:

To GRANT PLANNING PERMISSION for the reasons set out in this report, subject to:

(i) the indicative conditions substantially in the form of those listed in the draft decision notices at the end of this report.

4b Franciscan Friary, Gordon Road

A further five emails have been received objecting to the proposal. The objections are:

- the proposal is a risk to children.
- clarification that children use the Gordon Road entrance to the school.
- Safeguarding matters not being properly addressed by the school.

No new issues are raised that are not discussed in the report to Planning Committee.

This page is intentionally left blank